



Banach spaces with the Daugavet property

(joint papers with Vladimir Kadets, Nigel Kalton, Miguel Martín, Enrique Sánchez et al.)

Dirk Werner
Freie Universität Berlin

Valencia; April 7, 2011

The Daugavet equation

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

The Daugavet equation

Proposition (I. Daugavet 1963)

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

Examples

$C[0, 1]$, $L_1[0, 1]$, $L_\infty[0, 1]$, $A(\mathbb{D})$, H^∞ , $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ convex), type II von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

Each compact linear operator $T: C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ satisfies

For which Banach spaces is this proposition true?

$C[0, 1]$, $L_1[0, 1]$, $L_\infty[0, 1]$, $A(\mathbb{D})$, H^∞ , $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ convex), type II von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

More generally: $C(K)$ for a compact Hausdorff space K without isolated points; $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_\infty(\mu)$ for a non-atomic measure μ .

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|.$$

$c_0, \ell_1, \ell_\infty, L_p(\mu)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, $\text{Lip}(K)$ ($K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact and not convex), type I von Neumann algebras and their preduals, ...

The Daugavet property

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x)x_0$.

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x)x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x)x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

Lemma

The following are equivalent:

- X has the Daugavet property.

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x) x_0$.

The following are equivalent:

- $$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

The Daugavet property

Definition

A Banach space X has the **Daugavet property** if

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ of the form $T(x) = x_0^*(x)x_0$.

Note: W.l.o.g. $\|T\| = 1$.

Lemma

The following are equivalent:

- X has the Daugavet property.
- For all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and all slices S of the unit ball B_X there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

- For all $\|x_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the convex hull of $\{z \in B_X: \|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon\}$ is dense in B_X .

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then every slice of the unit ball has diameter 2.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then every slice of the unit ball has diameter 2. In particular, X is not reflexive.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all weakly compact operators T .

T is weakly compact if the closure of $T(B_X)$ is weakly compact, i.e., compact for the weak topology.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then every slice of the unit ball has diameter 2. In particular, X is not reflexive.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all strong Radon-Nikodym operators T .

T is a strong Radon-Nikodym operator if the closure of $T(B_X)$ has the Radon-Nikodym property.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

Example

In $C[0, 2\pi]$, the functions $t \mapsto \sin(2^n t)$ span a copy of ℓ_1 .

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

Example

In $C[0, 2\pi]$, the functions $t \mapsto \sin(2^n t)$ span a copy of ℓ_1 .

Theorem

If X has the Daugavet property, then $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all ℓ_1 -singular operators T .

T is called ℓ_1 -singular if *no* restriction of T to any copy of ℓ_1 is an (into-) isomorphism, i.e., bounded below.

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Examples

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$.

Unconditional bases

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Examples

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$. Neither $C[0, 1]$ nor $L_1[0, 1]$ have an unconditional basis.

Unconditional bases

Definition

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$.

If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Examples

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$. Neither $C[0, 1]$ nor $L_1[0, 1]$ have an unconditional basis.

Theorem

A separable Banach space with the Daugavet property fails to have an unconditional basis.

A **Schauder basis** of a Banach space X is a sequence e_1, e_2, \dots in X so that every element $x \in X$ can *uniquely* be represented by an infinite series $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$. If these representing series converge unconditionally, (e_n) is said to be an **unconditional Schauder basis**.

Orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces, the canonical basis of ℓ_p , the Haar system in $L_p[0, 1]$ for $p > 1$. Neither $C[0, 1]$ nor $L_1[0, 1]$ have an unconditional basis.

A separable Banach space with the Daugavet property fails to have an unconditional basis.
Even more, a separable Banach space with the Daugavet property does not even embed into a space with an unconditional basis.

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow W$ is called **narrow** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, all slices S of the unit ball B_X and all $y_0 \in S$ there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon, \quad \|Tz - Ty_0\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Narrow operators

Definition

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow W$ is called **narrow** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, all slices S of the unit ball B_X and all $y_0 \in S$ there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon, \quad \|Tz - Ty_0\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma

- X has the Daugavet property if and only if $T = 0$ is narrow.

Narrow operators

Definition

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow W$ is called **narrow** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, all slices S of the unit ball B_X and all $y_0 \in S$ there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon, \quad \|Tz - Ty_0\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma

- X has the Daugavet property if and only if $T = 0$ is narrow.
- Every narrow operator satisfies $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$.

Narrow operators

Definition

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow W$ is called **narrow** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, all slices S of the unit ball B_X and all $y_0 \in S$ there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon, \quad \|Tz - Ty_0\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma

- X has the Daugavet property if and only if $T = 0$ is narrow.
- Every narrow operator satisfies $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$.

Theorem

If T is weakly compact (or more generally a strong Radon-Nikodym operator) or if T is ℓ_1 -singular, then T is narrow.

Narrow operators

Definition

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow W$ is called **narrow** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, all slices S of the unit ball B_X and all $y_0 \in S$ there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon, \quad \|Tz - Ty_0\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma

- X has the Daugavet property if and only if $T = 0$ is narrow.
- Every narrow operator satisfies $\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$.

Theorem

If T is weakly compact (or more generally a strong Radon-Nikodym operator) or if T is ℓ_1 -singular, then T is narrow.
Indeed, for these T the sum $T + S$ is narrow whenever S is narrow

Narrow operators

Definition

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow W$ is called **narrow** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, all slices S of the unit ball B_X and all $y_0 \in S$ there exists some $z \in S$ such that

$$\|z - x_0\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon, \quad \|Tz - Ty_0\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma

- X has the Daugavet property if and only if $T = 0$ is narrow.
- Every narrow operator satisfies $\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$.

Theorem

If T is weakly compact (or more generally a strong Radon-Nikodym operator) or if T is ℓ_1 -singular, then T is narrow. Indeed, for these T the sum $T + S$ is narrow whenever S is narrow (although in general the set of narrow operators is not a vector space).

Definition

A closed subspace U of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property is called **rich** if the quotient mapping $q: X \rightarrow X/U$ is narrow.

Rich subspaces

Definition

A closed subspace U of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property is called **rich** if the quotient mapping $q: X \rightarrow X/U$ is narrow.

Example

In $C(K)$ all subalgebras are rich.

A closed subspace U of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property is called **rich** if the quotient mapping $q: X \rightarrow X/U$ is narrow.

In $C(K)$ all subalgebras are rich.

Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property.

- If U is rich, then U has the Daugavet property as well.

Definition

A closed subspace U of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property is called **rich** if the quotient mapping $q: X \rightarrow X/U$ is narrow.

Example

In $C(K)$ all subalgebras are rich.

Proposition

Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property.

- If U is rich, then U has the Daugavet property as well.
- If X/U is reflexive (or just has the RNP), then U is rich. In particular, finite-codimensional subspaces are rich.

Rich subspaces

Definition

A closed subspace U of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property is called **rich** if the quotient mapping $q: X \rightarrow X/U$ is narrow.

Example

In $C(K)$ all subalgebras are rich.

Proposition

Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property.

- If U is rich, then U has the Daugavet property as well.
- If X/U is reflexive (or just has the RNP), then U is rich. In particular, finite-codimensional subspaces are rich.
- If $(X/U)^*$ is separable, then U is rich.

Two questions of A. Pełczyński

Two questions of A. Pełczyński

Example

There is a Banach space X with the Daugavet property that fails to contain a copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. Indeed, X can be chosen to be of the form $L_1[0, 1]/(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots)$ with each U_n isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

Example

There is a Banach space X with the Daugavet property that fails to contain a copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. Indeed, X can be chosen to be of the form $L_1[0, 1]/(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots)$ with each U_n isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

Question 1: Does there exist a space with the Daugavet property that has the Schur property (i.e., weakly convergent sequences are norm convergent)?

Two questions of A. Pełczyński

Example

There is a Banach space X with the Daugavet property that fails to contain a copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. Indeed, X can be chosen to be of the form $L_1[0, 1]/(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots)$ with each U_n isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

Question 1: Does there exist a space with the Daugavet property that has the Schur property (i.e., weakly convergent sequences are norm convergent)?

Theorem

Yes.

Two questions of A. Pełczyński

Example

There is a Banach space X with the Daugavet property that fails to contain a copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. Indeed, X can be chosen to be of the form $L_1[0, 1]/(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots)$ with each U_n isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

Question 1: Does there exist a space with the Daugavet property that has the Schur property (i.e., weakly convergent sequences are norm convergent)?

Theorem

Yes.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property and $U \subset X$ is reflexive, then X/U has the Daugavet property.

Two questions of A. Pełczyński

Example

There is a Banach space X with the Daugavet property that fails to contain a copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. Indeed, X can be chosen to be of the form $L_1[0, 1]/(U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots)$ with each U_n isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

Question 1: Does there exist a space with the Daugavet property that has the Schur property (i.e., weakly convergent sequences are norm convergent)?

Theorem

Yes.

Proposition

If X has the Daugavet property and $U \subset X$ is reflexive, then X/U has the Daugavet property.

Question 2: What if U only has the RNP?

Definition

A subspace $U \subset X$ is called **poor** if X/V has the Daugavet property whenever $V \subset U$.

A subspace $U \subset X$ is called **poor** if X/V has the Daugavet property whenever $V \subset U$.

$U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor if and only if for every $A \subset [0, 1]$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in L_\infty[0, 1]$ supported on A with norm 1 such that $\|g\|_{U^*} \leq \varepsilon$.

Poor subspaces

Definition

A subspace $U \subset X$ is called **poor** if X/V has the Daugavet property whenever $V \subset U$.

Proposition

$U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor if and only if for every $A \subset [0, 1]$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in L_\infty[0, 1]$ supported on A with norm 1 such that $\|g\|_{U^*} \leq \varepsilon$.

Corollary

For the restriction map $R_A: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow L_1(A)$, the set $R_A(B_U)$ is nowhere dense if $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor.

A subspace $U \subset X$ is called **poor** if X/V has the Daugavet property whenever $V \subset U$.

$U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor if and only if for every $A \subset [0, 1]$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in L_\infty[0, 1]$ supported on A with norm 1 such that $\|g\|_{U^*} \leq \varepsilon$.

For the restriction map $R_A: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow L_1(A)$, the set $R_A(B_U)$ is nowhere dense if $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor.

There exists a subspace $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ that is isomorphic to ℓ_1 but not poor.

Poor subspaces

Definition

A subspace $U \subset X$ is called **poor** if X/V has the Daugavet property whenever $V \subset U$.

Proposition

$U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor if and only if for every $A \subset [0, 1]$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in L_\infty[0, 1]$ supported on A with norm 1 such that $\|g\|_{U^*} \leq \varepsilon$.

Corollary

For the restriction map $R_A: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow L_1(A)$, the set $R_A(B_U)$ is nowhere dense if $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor.

Theorem

There exists a subspace $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ that is isomorphic to ℓ_1 but not poor. Consequently, for some subspace $V \subset L_1[0, 1]$ with the RNP, $L_1[0, 1]/V$ fails the Daugavet property.

A subspace $U \subset X$ is called **poor** if X/V has the Daugavet property whenever $V \subset U$.

$U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor if and only if for every $A \subset [0, 1]$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in L_\infty[0, 1]$ supported on A with norm 1 such that $\|g\|_{U^*} \leq \varepsilon$.

For the restriction map $R_A: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow L_1(A)$, the set $R_A(B_U)$ is nowhere dense if $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ is poor.

There exists a subspace $U \subset L_1[0, 1]$ that is isomorphic to ℓ_1 but not poor. Consequently, for some subspace $V \subset L_1[0, 1]$ with the RNP, $L_1[0, 1]/V$ fails the Daugavet property. V can even be chosen to be isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

The almost Daugavet property

The almost Daugavet property

Definition

X has the **almost Daugavet property** if there exists a norming subspace $Y \subset X^*$ such that

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T : X \rightarrow X$ of the form $Tx = y_0^*(x)x_0$, $y_0^* \in Y$.

The almost Daugavet property

Definition

X has the **almost Daugavet property** if there exists a norming subspace $Y \subset X^*$ such that

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T : X \rightarrow X$ of the form $Tx = y_0^*(x)x_0$, $y_0^* \in Y$.

Example

ℓ_1 has the almost Daugavet property, but not the Daugavet property.

The almost Daugavet property

Definition

X has the **almost Daugavet property** if there exists a norming subspace $Y \subset X^*$ such that

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T : X \rightarrow X$ of the form $Tx = y_0^*(x)x_0$, $y_0^* \in Y$.

Example

ℓ_1 has the almost Daugavet property, but not the Daugavet property.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a separable Banach space X :

- X has the almost Daugavet property.

The almost Daugavet property

Definition

X has the **almost Daugavet property** if there exists a norming subspace $Y \subset X^*$ such that

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

for all operators $T : X \rightarrow X$ of the form $Tx = y_0^*(x)x_0$, $y_0^* \in Y$.

Example

ℓ_1 has the almost Daugavet property, but not the Daugavet property.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a separable Banach space X :

- X has the almost Daugavet property.
- There exists a sequence (e_n^*) in X^* that is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and such that $\text{lin}\{e_k^* : k \geq n\}$ is norming for every n .

The almost Daugavet property and types

The almost Daugavet property and types

Definition

- A **type** on a Banach space is a function of the form
$$\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|.$$

The almost Daugavet property and types

Definition

- A **type** on a Banach space is a function of the form $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|$.
- If $(x_n) \subset S_X$ and $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| = \|x\| + 1$, we call τ a **canonical l_1 -type**.

The almost Daugavet property and types

Definition

- A **type** on a Banach space is a function of the form $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|$.
- If $(x_n) \subset S_X$ and $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| = \|x\| + 1$, we call τ a **canonical ℓ_1 -type**.

Theorem

A separable Banach space X has the almost Daugavet property if and only if X admits a canonical ℓ_1 -type.

The almost Daugavet property and types

Definition

- A **type** on a Banach space is a function of the form $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|$.
- If $(x_n) \subset S_X$ and $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| = \|x\| + 1$, we call τ a **canonical ℓ_1 -type**.

Theorem

A separable Banach space X has the almost Daugavet property if and only if X admits a canonical ℓ_1 -type.

Corollary

If X has the almost Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

The almost Daugavet property and types

Definition

- A **type** on a Banach space is a function of the form $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|$.
- If $(x_n) \subset S_X$ and $\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\| = \|x\| + 1$, we call τ a **canonical ℓ_1 -type**.

Theorem

A separable Banach space X has the almost Daugavet property if and only if X admits a canonical ℓ_1 -type.

Corollary

If X has the almost Daugavet property, then X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

Theorem

A separable Banach space X can be renormed to have the almost Daugavet property if and only if X contains a copy of ℓ_1 .

The numerical range of an operator

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).
- X Banach space: in general, $V(T)$ is not convex.

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

Dirk Werner, Banach spaces with the Daugavet property, 7.4.2011 ◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ≡ ↺ 🔍 ↻ 13/18

The numerical range of an operator

Definition (O. Toeplitz 1918; G. Lumer / F.L. Bauer 1961/62)

- Let X be a Hilbert space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

- Let X be a Banach space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. The **numerical range** of T is

$$V(T) = \{ x^*(Tx) : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1 \}.$$

Some properties

- X Hilbert space: $V(T)$ is convex (Toeplitz/Hausdorff 1918/1919).
- X Banach space: in general, $V(T)$ is not convex.
- $\overline{V(T)}$ contains the convex hull of the spectrum of T (Wintner 1929; Crabb 1969), with equality for normal operators on a Hilbert space (Stone 1931, Berberian 1964).

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T .

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

Examples

The numerical index of an \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space is 0, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space is $1/2$,

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

Examples

The numerical index of an \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space is 0, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space is $1/2$, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Banach space is $\geq 1/e$;

The numerical index

Definition

The number $v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in V(T)\}$, that is

$$v(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)|: x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \|x\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(x) = 1\},$$

is called the **numerical radius** of T . (Obviously $v(T) \leq \|T\|$.)

Definition

The best constant $k \geq 0$ in the inequality

$$k\|T\| \leq v(T) \leq \|T\| \text{ für alle } T: X \rightarrow X$$

is called the **numerical index** of X , denoted by $n(X)$.

Examples

The numerical index of an \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space is 0, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space is $1/2$, the numerical index of a \mathbb{C} -Banach space is $\geq 1/e$; $n(C(K)) = 1$, $n(L^1(\mu)) = 1$, $n(A(\mathbb{D})) = 1$.

The duality problem for the numerical index

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ???$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\sup V(T) = \|T\| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) \text{ ???} \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 \text{ ???}$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup V(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \\ v(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) ??? \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 ???$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup V(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \\ v(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$n(X) = 1$ if and only if $\max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all $T: X \rightarrow X$.

The duality problem for the numerical index

Problem

$$n(X) = n(X^*) \text{ ???} \quad n(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow n(X^*) = 1 \text{ ???}$$

“ \geq ” resp. “ \Leftarrow ” always hold; hence “yes” for reflexive X .

Connection with the Daugavet equation (for real Banach spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup V(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \\ v(T) = \|T\| &\Leftrightarrow \max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$n(X) = 1$ if and only if $\max_{\pm} \|Id \pm T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ for all $T: X \rightarrow X$.

Note:

Daugavet property $\nRightarrow n(X) = 1$ (e.g. $X = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$);

$n(X) = 1 \nRightarrow$ Daugavet property (e.g. $X = c_0$).

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{co}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{co}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Examples

$C(K)$, $L^1(\mu)$, $A(\mathbb{D})$;

Lush Banach spaces

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{co}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Examples

$C(K)$, $L^1(\mu)$, $A(\mathbb{D})$; rich subspaces of $C(K)$.

Lush Banach spaces

Definition

A (real) Banach space X is called **lush** if for all $\|x_0\| = 1$, $\|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -slice S containing x_0 such that $\text{dist}(y_0, \text{co}(S \cup -S)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Examples

$C(K)$, $L^1(\mu)$, $A(\mathbb{D})$; rich subspaces of $C(K)$.

Proposition

Every lush space has numerical index 1.

The solution of the duality problem

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.
- $Y^\perp \cong (X/Y)^*$ and $X/Y \cong \{(x, y, z) \in \ell_\infty^3: x + y + z = 0\}$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.
- $Y^\perp \cong (X/Y)^*$ and $X/Y \cong \{(x, y, z) \in \ell_\infty^3: x + y + z = 0\}$.
- The unit ball of this two-dimensional space is a regular hexagon, hence $n(X/Y) = 1/2$.

The solution of the duality problem

Theorem

Let $X = \{f \in C[0, 2]: f(0) + f(1) + f(2) = 0\}$. Then $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) \leq 1/2$.

Elements of the proof:

- X is lush, hence $n(X) = 1$.
- Let $Y = \{f \in X: f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0\}$. Then $X^* \cong Y^* \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and therefore $n(X^*) \leq n(Y^\perp)$.
- $Y^\perp \cong (X/Y)^*$ and $X/Y \cong \{(x, y, z) \in \ell_\infty^3: x + y + z = 0\}$.
- The unit ball of this two-dimensional space is a regular hexagon, hence $n(X/Y) = 1/2$.

Theorem

There is a real Banach space with $n(X) = 1$, but $n(X^*) = 0$.

"I have noticed," said Mr. K., "that we put many people off our teachings because we have an answer to everything. Could we not, in the interests of propaganda, draw up a list of the questions that appear to us completely unsolved?"

Bertolt Brecht, Stories of Mr Keuner

Bertolt Brecht, Stories of Mr Keuner

Does there exist a Banach space X such that X^{**} has the Daugavet property?

"I have noticed," said Mr. K., "that we put many people off our teachings because we have an answer to everything. Could we not, in the interests of propaganda, draw up a list of the questions that appear to us completely unsolved?"

Bertolt Brecht, Stories of Mr Keuner

Problem

Does there exist a Banach space X such that X^{**} has the Daugavet property?

All contributions are welcome!