▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Image domains of locally univalent functions. Part I: Case of univalent functions

Fernando Pérez-González University of La Laguna

Valencia, March-April 2011

# Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\,:\,|z|<1\}$ be the open unit disc in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}.$

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

A univalent function g is a one-to-one holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{D}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\,:\,|z|<1\}$  be the open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}.$ 

A univalent function g is a one-to-one holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

The class of univalent functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative 000000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# General problems

#### Question

What do univalent functions belong to some standard space of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# If g is a conformal map from $\mathbb D$ onto a Jordan domain $\Omega$ whose boundary $\partial\Omega=\mathcal C$ is a Jordan curve,

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

If g is a conformal map from  $\mathbb D$  onto a Jordan domain  $\Omega$  whose boundary  $\partial \Omega = \mathcal C$  is a Jordan curve,

#### Question

A fundamental question in the theory of conformal maps is the relationship between the geometric properties of C and the analytic properties of g.

Introduction

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative 000000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

# Scheme of the talks

- Function spaces and univalence
- Geometric properties
- Local univalence

# Hardy spaces

 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  is the algebra of all analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . For 0 , the*Hardy space* $<math>H^p$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p:=\lim_{r o 1^-}M_p^p(r,f):=\lim_{r o 1^-}rac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}|f(re^{it})|^p\,dt<\infty.$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Hardy spaces

 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  is the algebra of all analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . For 0 , the*Hardy space* $<math>H^p$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p:=\lim_{r o 1^-}M_p^p(r,f):=\lim_{r o 1^-}rac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}|f(re^{it})|^p\,dt<\infty.$$

The space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  is denoted by  $H^{\infty}$ .

# Hardy spaces

 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  is the algebra of all analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . For 0 , the*Hardy space* $<math>H^p$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p := \lim_{r o 1^-} M_p^p(r,f) := \lim_{r o 1^-} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p \, dt < \infty.$$

The space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  is denoted by  $H^{\infty}$ .

A classical result due to Fatou states that every Hardy function has a radial limit almost everywhere on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} := \{z : |z| = 1\}.$ 

# Hardy spaces

 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  is the algebra of all analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . For 0 , the*Hardy space* $<math>H^p$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p := \lim_{r o 1^-} M_p^p(r,f) := \lim_{r o 1^-} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p \, dt < \infty.$$

The space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  is denoted by  $H^{\infty}$ .

A classical result due to Fatou states that every Hardy function has a radial limit almost everywhere on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} := \{z : |z| = 1\}.$  $f(\zeta)$  denotes the radial limit of f at  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ . Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative 00000000

$$0$$

Theorem (Prawitz 1927)

If 
$$f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$$
 is univalent then  $f \in H^P$  for all  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Theorem (Hardy-Littlewood (1920), Pommerenke (1962))

Let  $0 and suppose <math>f \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $f \in H^p$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 M^p_\infty(r,f) dr < \infty \, .$$

Moreover, if  $0 then <math>f \in H^p$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 M_1^p(r,f')dr < \infty \, .$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

The Logarithm of the derivative 00000000

# **BMOA**

The space *BMOA* of analytic functions with *bounded mean* oscillation on  $\mathbb{T}$  consists of those  $f \in H^2$  for which

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{BMOA}^{2} &= \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{\zeta}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\ &= \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(z) - f(\zeta)|^{2} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2}}{|z - \zeta|^{2}} |dz| < \infty (2.1) \end{split}$$

where

$$f_{\zeta}(z) := (f \circ \varphi_{\zeta})(z) - f(\zeta),$$

and

$$\varphi_{\zeta}(z) := rac{\zeta-z}{1-\overline{\zeta}z}.$$

The Logarithm of the derivative 00000000

# **BMOA**

The space *BMOA* of analytic functions with *bounded mean* oscillation on  $\mathbb{T}$  consists of those  $f \in H^2$  for which

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{BMOA}^{2} &= \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{\zeta}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\ &= \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(z) - f(\zeta)|^{2} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^{2}}{|z - \zeta|^{2}} |dz| < \infty (2.1) \end{split}$$

where

$$f_{\zeta}(z) := (f \circ \varphi_{\zeta})(z) - f(\zeta),$$

and

$$\varphi_{\zeta}(z) := rac{\zeta-z}{1-\overline{\zeta}z}.$$

Alternative characterizations of *BMOA*, Garnett's (1981), Baernstein (1980) or Girela (2000).



$$H^{\infty} \subset BMOA \subset \bigcap_{p>0} H^p$$
.

The space *VMOA* consists of those  $f \in H^2$  for which the integral in (2.1) tends to zero as  $\zeta$  approaches to the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$ , i.e

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(z) - f(\zeta)|^2 \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2} |dz| = 0.$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

### Bloch space

BMOA is a subspace of the Bloch space

$$\mathcal{B}:=\left\{f\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}):\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}:=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)<\infty
ight\},$$

and VMOA is a subspace of both BMOA and the little Bloch space

$$\mathcal{B}_0:=\left\{f\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}): \lim_{|z| o 1^-}|f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)=0
ight\}.$$

It follows from the definition that

$$f\in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow |f(z)|\lesssim \lograc{1}{1-|z|^2}, \qquad z\in\mathbb{D}.$$

### Dirichlet space

Recall that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  belongs to the classical *Dirichlet space*  $\mathcal{D}$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 := rac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) + |f(0)|^2 < \infty,$$

where dA(z) denotes the element of the Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Dirichlet space

Recall that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  belongs to the classical *Dirichlet space*  $\mathcal{D}$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 := rac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) + |f(0)|^2 < \infty,$$

where dA(z) denotes the element of the Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $Area(f(\mathbb{D}))$  is finite, counting multiplicities.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Dirichlet space

Recall that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  belongs to the classical *Dirichlet space*  $\mathcal{D}$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 := rac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) + |f(0)|^2 < \infty,$$

where dA(z) denotes the element of the Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $Area(f(\mathbb{D}))$  is finite, counting multiplicities.

It is easy to see that

 $\mathcal{D} \subset \textit{VMOA} \subset \mathcal{B}_0.$ 

 $Q_p$  spaces

 $Q_p$ ,  $0 \le p < \infty$ , is the Möbius invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{B}$  that consists of all those functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|^2_{Q_p}:=\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2g^p(z,a)dA(z)<\infty\,.$$

 $g(z,a) = -\log |\varphi_a(z)|$  is the Green function of  $\mathbb{D}$  with singularity at a. Similarly, we say that  $f \in Q_{p,0}$  iff

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2g^p(z,a)dA(z)=0.$$

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative 00000000

$$Q_{p_1} \stackrel{\subseteq}{\neq} Q_{p_2}, \qquad 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty.$$

$$Q_0 = \mathcal{D}$$

$$Q_1 = BMOA$$

$$Q_p = \mathcal{B} \qquad p > 1.$$

$$\mathcal{D} \subset Q_{1,0} = VMOA \subset \mathcal{B}_0 = Q_{p,0} \qquad p > 1.$$

Theorem (Pommerenke 1977)

#### $\textit{BMOA} \cap \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{U}$

Theorem (Aulaskari, Lappan, Xiao and Zhao, 1997)

For any p > 0,

 $Q_p \cap \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{U}$ 

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ○ < ⊙ < ⊙

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Analytic Besov spaces

For 1 , the*analytic Besov spaces* $<math>B^p$  is defined as the set of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^{p} (1-|z|^{2})^{p-2} dA(z) < \infty.$$

Note that  $B^2 = \mathcal{D}$ .

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Analytic Besov spaces

For 1 , the*analytic Besov spaces* $<math>B^p$  is defined as the set of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) < \infty.$$

Note that  $B^2 = \mathcal{D}$ .

$$B^p \subset \mathcal{B}, \qquad p > 1.$$

# Univalent domains

Let X be a space of analytic functions in  $\mathbb D$ 

#### Definition

A planar domain  $\Omega$  is said to be an *X* domain if every analytic function in  $\mathbb{D}$  with the property  $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$  must belong to *X*.

#### Definition

A planar domain  $\Omega$  will be called *univalent* X *domain* if every  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  which applied  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\Omega$  must belong to X.

If  $\Omega$  is a simply connected proper domain of the complex plane and  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f(\mathbb{D}) = \Omega$ , then

$$d_\Omega(f(z))\leq |f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)\leq 4d_\Omega(f(z)),\quad z\in\mathbb{D},$$

where  $d_{\Omega}(w)$  stands for the Euclidean distance from w to the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

If  $\Omega$  is a simply connected proper domain of the complex plane and  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f(\mathbb{D}) = \Omega$ , then

$$d_\Omega(f(z))\leq |f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)\leq 4d_\Omega(f(z)),\quad z\in\mathbb{D},$$

where  $d_{\Omega}(w)$  stands for the Euclidean distance from w to the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

Therefore univalent functions in the Bloch space can be characterized by the following well known geometric condition:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

If  $\Omega$  is a simply connected proper domain of the complex plane and  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f(\mathbb{D}) = \Omega$ , then

$$d_\Omega(f(z))\leq |f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)\leq 4d_\Omega(f(z)),\quad z\in\mathbb{D},$$

where  $d_{\Omega}(w)$  stands for the Euclidean distance from w to the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

Therefore univalent functions in the Bloch space can be characterized by the following well known geometric condition:

# Theorem If $f \in \mathcal{U}$ then $f \in \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \sup_{w \in \Omega} d_{\Omega}(w) < \infty$ ,

Therefore, the image of  $\mathbb{D}$  under f does not contain arbitrarily large discs.

Stegenga described BMOA domain in a quite tangible and visualized way. Put  $\Delta(w_0, R) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - w_0| < R\}$  and  $Q(w_0, R) = \Delta(w_0, R) \setminus \Omega$ .

#### Theorem (Stegenga 1978)

Set a domain  $\Omega$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\Omega$  is a BMOA domain.

(ii) There exist positive constants R and  $\delta$  such that

 $cap(Q(w_0, R)) \geq \delta$ ,

for all  $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Stegenga described BMOA domain in a quite tangible and visualized way. Put  $\Delta(w_0, R) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - w_0| < R\}$  and  $Q(w_0, R) = \Delta(w_0, R) \setminus \Omega$ .

#### Theorem (Stegenga 1978)

Set a domain  $\Omega$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\Omega$  is a BMOA domain.

(ii) There exist positive constants R and  $\delta$  such that

 $cap(Q(w_0, R)) \geq \delta$ ,

for all  $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The complements of  $\Omega$  are reasonably thick (measured in potential theory terms) in the vicinity of every point in the plane.

Hedenmalm observed that there is no  $Q_p$  domains.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Hedenmalm observed that there is no  $Q_p$  domains.

Donaire-Girela- Vukotic (2002) showed that there is no  $B^p$  domains.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Theorem (Walsh 2000)

Let  $1 and let <math>\Omega$  be a simply connected proper domain. If  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $f(\mathbb{D}) = \Omega$ , then  $f \in B^p$  if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} d_{\Omega}(w)^{p-2} dA(w) < \infty.$$

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative 00000000

# P-G and Rättyä

For  $0 and <math>-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , the *weighted Bergman space*  $A^p_{\alpha}$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  for which

$$\|f\|_{A^p_lpha}^p:=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^lpha\,dA(z)<\infty,$$

#### Theorem (Baernstein-Girela- Peláez 2007)

Let  $0 , <math>-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $f \in U$ . Then  $f \in A^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 r(1-r^2)^lpha \left(\int_0^r M^p_\infty(
ho,f)\,d
ho
ight)\,dr<\infty.$$

Moreover, if  $0 , then <math>f \in A^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 r(1-r^2)^{\alpha} \left(\int_0^r M_1^p(\rho,f')\,d\rho\right)\,dr<\infty.$$

a a

The Hardy space  $H^p$  is identified with the limit space of the weighted Bergman space  $A^p_{\alpha}$  as  $\alpha \to -1^+$ , and therefore the notation  $A^p_{-1} := H^p$  is adopted.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Hardy space  $H^p$  is identified with the limit space of the weighted Bergman space  $A^p_{\alpha}$  as  $\alpha \to -1^+$ , and therefore the notation  $A^p_{-1} := H^p$  is adopted.

One reason to do so is that  $\lim_{\alpha \to -1^+} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p_\alpha} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Hardy space  $H^p$  is identified with the limit space of the weighted Bergman space  $A^p_{\alpha}$  as  $\alpha \to -1^+$ , and therefore the notation  $A^p_{-1} := H^p$  is adopted.

One reason to do so is that  $\lim_{\alpha \to -1^+} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p_\alpha} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ 

On the other hand, a generalization of the Littlewood-Paley formula due to Stein states that

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \frac{p^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} \, dA(z) + |f(0)|^p \,. \tag{2.2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

An analogous formula for the weighted Bergman space exists, namely  $% \left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{c}}} \right)}} \right)}_{n}}} \right)}_{n}}} \right)$ 

$$\|f\|_{A^p_{\alpha}}^p \simeq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 \left(\log \frac{1}{|z|}\right)^{\alpha+2} dA(z) + |f(0)|^p, \ (2.3)$$

(Smith, 1996)

In Theorem of Baernstein et al.,  $\alpha = -1$  can not be substituted since the singularities would become too strong. However, an application of Fubini's theorem yields

The Logarithm of the derivative 00000000

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} r(1-r^{2})^{\alpha} \left( \int_{0}^{r} M_{\infty}^{p}(\rho,f) \, d\rho \right) \, dr \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} M_{\infty}^{p}(\rho,f) \int_{\rho}^{1} r(1-r^{2})^{\alpha} \, dr \, d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{1} M_{\infty}^{p}(\rho,f) (1-\rho^{2})^{\alpha+1} \, d\rho, \end{split}$$

and similarly

$$\int_0^1 r(1-r^2)^{\alpha} \left( \int_0^r M_1^p(\rho, f') \, d\rho \right) \, dr$$
$$= \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \int_0^1 M_1^p(\rho, f') (1-\rho^2)^{\alpha+1} \, d\rho.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● のへで

This shows that Theorem of Baernstein, Girela and Peláez indeed generalizes Theorem of Hardy-Littlewood et al. for the weighted Bergman spaces, and thus the following result holds.

#### Theorem

Let  $0 , <math>-1 \le \alpha < \infty$  and  $f \in U$ . Then  $f \in A^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if

$$J^p_lpha(f):=\int_0^1 M^p_\infty(r,f)(1-r^2)^{lpha+1}\,dr<\infty.$$

Moreover, if  $0 , then <math>f \in A^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if

$$\mathcal{K}^p_{lpha}(f) := \int_0^1 M^p_1(r,f')(1-r^2)^{lpha+1}\,dr < \infty.$$

The second part of the assertion is true in the case p = 1 and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ . To see this, it suffices to observe that

$$\|f\|_{A^1_{\alpha}} \simeq \|f'\|_{A^1_{\alpha+1}} + |f(0)| \simeq K^1_{\alpha}(f) + |f(0)|,$$

where the first asymptotic equality follows by the well-known result  $\|f\|_{A^p_{\alpha}} \simeq \|f'\|_{A^p_{p+\alpha}} + |f(0)|$  for all  $0 and <math>-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , and the second one is a simple consequence of the fact that  $M^p_1(r, f')$  is an increasing function of r.

# Hardy-type spaces

For  $0 and <math>-3 < \alpha < \infty$ , the **Hardy type space**  $H^p_{\alpha}$  consists of those analytic functions f in  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p_{lpha}}^p := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} (1-|z|^2)^{lpha+2} \, dA(z) < \infty.$$

This function space appears in several occasions in the existing literature.

- Mateljević and Pavlović (1983)
- Girela, Pavlović and Peláez (2007)

For  $0 and <math>-2 < \alpha < \infty$ , the  $S^p_{\alpha}$  consists of those analytic functions f in  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\|f\|_{S^p_{\alpha}}^p := \int_0^1 r(1-r^2)^{\alpha+1} \left(\int_{\Delta(0,r)} |f'(z)|^2 \, dA(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dr < \infty.$$

#### Theorem (P.-G. and Rättyä 2008)

Let 
$$0 and  $-2 \le \alpha < \infty$ . Then$$

$$H^p_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{U} = S^p_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{U}.$$

#### Theorem (P.-G. and Rättyä 2008)

Let  $0 and <math>-2 \le \alpha < \infty$  and suppose  $f \in U$ . The following assertions are equivalent

• 
$$f \in \mathcal{B}$$
.

• 
$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\varphi_a-f(a)\|_{H^p_{\alpha}}$$
.

• 
$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\varphi_a\|_{S^p_\alpha}$$
.

• 
$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} J^p_{lpha}(f\circ arphi_a-f(a))<\infty.$$

In 1978, Pommerenke characterized both asymptotically conformal and asymptotically smooth curves in terms of analytic properties of  $\log g'$ .

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

In 1978, Pommerenke characterized both asymptotically conformal and asymptotically smooth curves in terms of analytic properties of  $\log g'$ .

Let C be a (closed) Jordan curve in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $C(w_1, w_2)$  denote the smaller arc of C between the points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  on C.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

In 1978, Pommerenke characterized both asymptotically conformal and asymptotically smooth curves in terms of analytic properties of  $\log g'$ .

Let C be a (closed) Jordan curve in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $C(w_1, w_2)$  denote the smaller arc of C between the points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  on C.

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  is called asymptotically conformal if

$$\max_{w \in \mathcal{C}(w_1, w_2)} \frac{|w_2 - w| + |w - w_1|}{|w_2 - w_1|} \to 1, \quad \text{as} \quad |w_2 - w_1| \to 0,$$

In 1978, Pommerenke characterized both asymptotically conformal and asymptotically smooth curves in terms of analytic properties of  $\log g'$ .

Let C be a (closed) Jordan curve in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $C(w_1, w_2)$  denote the smaller arc of C between the points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  on C.

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  is called asymptotically conformal if

$$\max_{w \in \mathcal{C}(w_1, w_2)} \frac{|w_2 - w| + |w - w_1|}{|w_2 - w_1|} \to 1, \quad \text{as} \quad |w_2 - w_1| \to 0,$$

and *quasi-conformal* if this maximum is uniformly bounded for all  $w_1, w_2 \in C$ .

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# asymptotically conformal

The Logarithm of the derivative

# asymptotically conformal

The latter case occurs if and only if C is the image of a circle under a *quasi-conformal mapping* of  $\mathbb{C}$  (Pommerenke, 1975), and therefore quasi-conformal curves are usually called *quasi-circles*.

# asymptotically conformal

The latter case occurs if and only if C is the image of a circle under a *quasi-conformal mapping* of  $\mathbb{C}$  (Pommerenke, 1975), and therefore quasi-conformal curves are usually called *quasi-circles*.

The concept of asymptotically conformal curves was introduced by Becker in 1972 and it fits nicely between the theories of quasi-conformal and smooth curves.

# asymptotically smooth

In addition, recall that if C is a rectifiable Jordan curve and  $l(w_1, w_2)$  denotes the length of the shorter arc on C joining  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , then C is said to be *asymptotically smooth* if

$$\frac{l(w_1,w_2)}{|w_2-w_1|} \rightarrow 1, \quad \mathrm{as} \quad |w_2-w_1| \rightarrow 0,$$

and *quasi smooth* if this quotient is uniformly bounded for all  $w_1, w_2 \in C$ .

# asymptotically smooth

In addition, recall that if C is a rectifiable Jordan curve and  $l(w_1, w_2)$  denotes the length of the shorter arc on C joining  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , then C is said to be *asymptotically smooth* if

$$\frac{l(w_1,w_2)}{|w_2-w_1|} \rightarrow 1, \quad \mathrm{as} \quad |w_2-w_1| \rightarrow 0,$$

and *quasi smooth* if this quotient is uniformly bounded for all  $w_1, w_2 \in C$ .

Inner domains of quasi smooth curves are also known as *chord-arc* or *Lavrentiev domains*.

# Theorems of Pommerenke 1978

Let g be a conformal map from the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  onto the inner domain bounded by the Jordan curve  $\mathcal{C} = g(\mathbb{T})$ .

# Theorems of Pommerenke 1978

Let g be a conformal map from the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  onto the inner domain bounded by the Jordan curve  $\mathcal{C} = g(\mathbb{T})$ .

• C is asymptotically conformal if and only if  $\log g'$  belongs to the *little Bloch space*  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

# Theorems of Pommerenke 1978

Let g be a conformal map from the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  onto the inner domain bounded by the Jordan curve  $\mathcal{C} = g(\mathbb{T})$ .

- C is asymptotically conformal if and only if log g' belongs to the *little Bloch space*  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .
- C is asymptotically smooth if and only if  $\log g'$  belongs to VMOA.

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative  $\bullet 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 \circ 0$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# $\log g' \in BMOA$

For g locally univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  ( $g'(z) \neq 0$  for any z), the Schwarzian derivative is defined as

$$S_g(z) = \left(\frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)}\right)^2$$

Function spaces and univalence

The Logarithm of the derivative

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# $\log g' \in BMOA$

For g locally univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  ( $g'(z) \neq 0$  for any z), the Schwarzian derivative is defined as

$$S_g(z) = \left(rac{g^{\prime\prime}(z)}{g^\prime(z)}
ight)^\prime - rac{1}{2}\left(rac{g^{\prime\prime}(z)}{g^\prime(z)}
ight)^2.$$

Astala and Zeinsmeister (1991) studied the set of conformal maps g such that  $\log g' \in BMOA$ .

# $\log g' \in BMOA$

For g locally univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  ( $g'(z) \neq 0$  for any z), the Schwarzian derivative is defined as

$$S_g(z) = \left(rac{g^{\prime\prime}(z)}{g^\prime(z)}
ight)^\prime - rac{1}{2}\left(rac{g^{\prime\prime}(z)}{g^\prime(z)}
ight)^2.$$

Astala and Zeinsmeister (1991) studied the set of conformal maps g such that  $\log g' \in BMOA$ .

#### Theorem (Astala-Zinsmeister 1991)

Let f be a conformal map on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- $\log g' \in BMOA$  with small norm.
- $g(\partial \mathbb{D})$  is a Lavrentiev curve.
- $|S_g(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dA(z)$  is a Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Bishop and Jones obtained a complete (analytic and geometric) description of those simply connected domains  $\Omega$  such that any Riemann map g of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\log g' \in BMOA$ .

Bishop and Jones obtained a complete (analytic and geometric) description of those simply connected domains  $\Omega$  such that any Riemann map g of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\log g' \in BMOA$ .

#### Theorem (Bishop-Jones 1994)

The following assertions are equivalent:

- $\log g' \in BMOA$ .
- $|S_g(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dA(z)$  is a Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .
- There exist  $\delta > 0$  and C > 0 such that for all  $z_0 \in \Omega$  there is a subdomain  $U \subset \Omega$  such that

(i) 
$$z_0 \in U$$
 and  $dist(z_0, \partial \Omega) \leq dist(z_0, \partial U)$ .

(ii)  $\partial U$  is chord-arc with constant at most C and  $I(\partial U) \leq Cdist(z_0, \partial \Omega)$ .

• There exist  $\delta > 0$  and C > 0 such that for all  $z_0 \in \Omega$  there is a Lipschitz domain  $V \subset \mathbb{D}$  such that

(i) 
$$z_0 \in V$$
.  
(ii)  $\omega(z_0, \partial V \cap \partial \mathbb{D}, V) \ge \delta$ , and  
(iii)  $\int_V |g'(z)| |S_g(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^3 dA(z) \le C |g'(z_0)| (1 - |z_0|^2)$ .

These results in terms of the Schwarzian derivative have been recently extended to other spaces of functions

#### Theorem (Pau-Peláez 2009)

For 0 , the following assertions are equivalent:

- $\log g' \in Q_p$ .
- $|S_g(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{p+2}dA(z)$  is a Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

If  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$  is a Jordan curve and g is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ , let us consider the geometric quantity

$$\eta(\delta) := \sup_{|w_1 - w_2| \le \delta} \sup_{w \in \Gamma(w_1, w_2)} \left( rac{|w_2 - w| + |w - w_1|}{|w_2 - w_1|} - 1 
ight)^{rac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \le \delta < 1.$$

#### Theorem (Pau-Peláez)

Let  $0 and let <math>g \in U$  such that  $\Gamma = \partial g(\mathbb{D})$  is a closed Jordan curve. If

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\eta^2(t)}{t^{2-p}} < \infty$$

then  $\log g' \in Q_{p,0}$ 

#### Theorem (PG- Rättyä 2009)

Let  $1 and <math>g : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  be a conformal map such that  $g(\mathbb{T})$  is a closed Jordan curve. Then, the following assertion are equivalent:

• 
$$\log g' \in B_p$$

۲

$$I(g) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |S_g(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{2p-2} dA(z) < \infty$$
.

In particular,  $\log g' \in \mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $S_g(z)(1-|z|^2) \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

#### Theorem (PG- Rättyä 2009)

Let  $0 < s \le 1$  and  $g : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  be a conformal map such that  $g(\mathbb{T})$  is a closed Jordan curve. Then, the following assertion are equivalent:

- $\log f' \in Q_{s,0}$
- $|S_g(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2+s}dA(z)$  is a vanishing s- Careson measure.

In particular,

•  $\log g' \in VMOA$  if and only if  $|S_g(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dA(z)$  is a vanishing Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

These results have been recently extended to the general class of spaces, the so-called  $F_{p,q,s}$ , where p > 0, q > -2 and  $s \ge 0$ , defined as the set of all analytic functions f in  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^q(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s dA(z)<\infty\,.$$

•  $F_{p,p-2,0} = B^p$ .

• 
$$F_{2,0,0} = \mathcal{T}$$

• 
$$F_{2,0,s} = Q_p$$

• 
$$F_{2,0,1} = BMOA$$

For  $q+s\geq -1,\, 1\leq p<\infty$  and  $q+2\leq p$  , then  $F_{p,q,s}\subset \mathcal{B}.$ 

・ロト・西ト・ヨト ヨー シタぐ

#### Theorem (Zorboska 2011)

Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $-2 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 \le s$  and q + s > -1 and  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ .

- For p = q + 2,  $f \in F_{p,q,s}$  if and only if  $d\mu_{(z)} = |S_f(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p+q+s} dA(z)$  is an s-Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .
- For p > q + 2,  $f \in F_{p,q,s}$  if and only if  $\log f' \in \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $d\mu_{(z)} = |S_f(z)|^p (1 |z|^2)^{p+q+s} dA(z)$  is an s-Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

### THANK YOU VERY MUCH